arXiv:1402.4316v2 [math.ST] 26 Feb 2014

Rates of convergence for Rényi entropy in extreme value theory

Ali Saeb[1]
Theoretical Statistics and Mathematics Unit,
Indian Statistical Institute, Delhi Center,
7 S.J.S Sansanwal Marg, New Delhi 110016, India

Abstract: Max stable laws are limit laws of linearly normalized partial maxima of independent identically distributed random variables. Saeb (2014) proves that the Rényi entropy of order β ($\beta > 1$) of linear normalized maximum of iid random variables with continuous differentiable density is convergent to the Rényi entropy of order β of the max stable laws. In this paper, we study the rate of convergence result for Rényi entropy for linearly normalized partial maxima.

Keywords: Rate of convergence, Rényi entropy, Densities convergence, Max stable laws, Max domain of attraction.

MSC 2010 classification: 60F10

[1]Corresponding author: ali.saeb@gmail.com

1. Introduction

The Shannon entropy of a continuous random variable (rv) X with density function $f(x)$ is defined as

$$H(f) = -\int_A f(x)\log f(x)dx, \text{ where } A = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : f(x) > 0\}.$$

Rényi (1961) generalizes the differential entropy as the following which is called the Rényi entropy of order β :

$$H_\beta(f) = \frac{1}{1-\beta}\log\left(\int_A (f(x))^\beta\, dx\right), \tag{1.1}$$

where, $0 < \beta < \infty$, $\beta \neq 1$. The Rényi entropy of order 2, $H_2(f)$, is called the collision entropy. It is to be noted here that, as $\beta \to 1$, the Rényi entropy tends to Shannon entropy, which can be seen as the negative expected log likelihood.

The idea of tracking the central limit theorem using Shannon entropy goes back to Linnik (1959) and Shimizu (1975), who used it to give a particular proof of the central limit theorem. Brown (1982), Barron (1986) and Takano (1987) discuss the central limit theorem with convergence in the sense of Shannon entropy and relative entropy. Artstein et al.(2004) and Johnson and Barron (2004) obtained the rate of convergence under some conditions on the density. Johnson (2006) is a good reference to the application of information theory to limit theorems, especially the central limit theorem. Cui and Ding (2010) show that the convergence of the Renyi entropy of the normalized sums of iid rvs and obtain the corresponding rates of convergence. Saeb (2014) study the Renyi entropy of the max domain of attraction (MDA) with continuous density is convergent to the Renyi entropy of the max stable laws.

The limit laws of linearly normalized partial maxima $M_n = \max(X_1, \cdots, X_n)$ of independent and identically distributed (iid) rvs $X_1, X_2, \ldots$, with common distribution function (df) F, namely,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \Pr(M_n \le a_n x + b_n) = \lim_{n\to\infty} F^n(a_n x + b_n) = G(x), \quad x \in \mathcal{C}(G), \tag{1.2}$$

where, $a_n > 0$, $b_n \in \mathbb{R}$, are norming constants, G is a non-degenerate distribution function, $\mathcal{C}(G)$ is the set of all continuity points of G, are called max stable laws. If, for some non-degenerate distribution function G, a distribution function F satisfies (1.2) for some norming constants $a_n > 0$, $b_n \in \mathbb{R}$, then we say that F belongs to the MDA of G under linear normalization and denote it by $F \in \mathcal{D}(G)$. Limit distribution functions G satisfying (1.2) are the well known extreme value types of distributions, or max stable laws, namely,

$$\text{the Fréchet law:} \quad \Phi_\alpha(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x < 0, \\ \exp(-x^{-\alpha}), & 0 \le x; \end{cases}$$

$$\text{the Weibull law:} \quad \Psi_\alpha(x) = \begin{cases} \exp(-|x|^\alpha), & x < 0, \\ 1, & 0 \le x; \end{cases}$$

$$\text{and the Gumbel law:} \quad \Lambda(x) = \exp(-\exp(-x)); \quad x \in \mathbb{R};$$

$\alpha > 0$ being a parameter, with respective density functions,

$$\text{the Fréchet density:} \quad \phi_\alpha(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x \le 0, \\ \alpha x^{-(\alpha+1)} e^{-x^{-\alpha}}, & 0 < x; \end{cases}$$

$$\text{the Weibull density:} \quad \psi_\alpha(x) = \begin{cases} \alpha|x|^{\alpha-1} e^{-|x|^\alpha}, & x < 0, \\ 0, & 0 \le x; \end{cases}$$

$$\text{and the Gumbel density:} \quad \lambda(x) = e^{-x} e^{-e^{-x}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Note that (1.2) is equivalent to

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} n(1 - F(a_n x + b_n)) = -\log G(x), \; x \in \{y : G(y) > 0\}.$$

We shall denote the left extremity of distribution function F by $l(F) = \inf\{x : F(x) > 0\} \geq -\infty$ and the right extremity of F by $r(F) = \sup\{x : F(x) < 1\} \leq \infty$. Criteria for $F \in \mathcal{D}(G)$ are well known (see, for example, Galambos, 1987; Resnick, 1987; Embrechts et al., 1997). de Haan and Resnick (1982), show that under von Mises conditions the density of the normalized maximum convergence to the limit density in L_p $(0 < p \leq \infty)$ provided both the orginal denisty and the limit density are in L_p. Smith (1982) derive the rate of convergence in distribution of normalized sample maxima to corresponding max stable laws. Omey (1988) study the rate of convergence for density of MDA of Fréchet to the appropriate limit density.

In this article, our main interest is to investigate the rate convergence of Renyi entropy for normalized partial maxima of iid rvs corresponding to Renyi entropy of max stable laws. Since, the rate of convergence on Renyi entropy in MDA involve the rate of convergence of density function of sample maxima, the proofs of our results here involve the application of rate convergence of density function on MDA. In section 2, we study the rate of convergence for density function of MDA of Gumbel and also, we represent the new form of Theorem 6, Omey (1988) to find out the new conditions on rate of convergence in MDA of Fréchet. Section 3, we give our main result on rate of convergence for Renyi entropy for MDA corresponding to max stable laws. For quick reference, some of the results used in this article are given in Appendix A.

2. Rates of convergence for density function

2.1. **Gumbel domain.** Suppose $F \in \mathcal{D}(\Lambda)$ and $1 - F$ is Γ varying so that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\overline{F}(b_n + xa_n)}{\overline{F}(b_n)} \quad = \quad e^{-x}, \;\; x \in \mathbb{R},$$

where the function $a_n = u(b_n) = \int_{b_n}^{r(F)} \overline{F}(s)ds/\overline{F}(b_n)$ is called an auxiliary function. From (1.2)

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} F^n(a_n x + b_n) \quad = \quad \Lambda(x), \; x \in \mathbb{R},$$

with $a_n = u(b_n)$ and $b_n = F^{\leftarrow}(1 - \frac{1}{n}) = \inf\{x : F(x) > 1 - \frac{1}{n}\}$, $n \geq 1$. From Theorem A.2, F satisfies the von Mises condition:

$$\lim_{t\to r(F)} \frac{f(t)u(t)}{\overline{F}(t)} = 1. \tag{2.1}$$

We define $F(x) = \exp\{-e^{-\eta(x)}\}$ and F is twice differentiable (see, page 114, Resnick (1987)). The Von Mises condition analogous to (2.1) guaranteeing $F \in \mathcal{D}(\Lambda)$ is

$$h(x) = (1/\eta')' = -\log F(x) - \left[1 - \frac{F(x)f'(x)\log F(x)}{(f(x))^2}\right] \to 0. \tag{2.2}$$

as $x \to r(F)$. There exists a nonincreasing function h with $h(x) = sup_{x<y} h(y)$ note that $h(y) \downarrow 0$, as $y \to r(F)$. From equation (2.54) and (2.55), Resnick (1987), obtain a following bound on $x > 0$,

$$F(h(b_n), x) \leq F^n(a_n x + b_n) \leq F(-h(b_n), x). \tag{2.3}$$

and for region $(-\infty, 0)$,

$$F(h(a_n x + b_n), x) \leq F^n(a_n x + b_n) \leq F(-h(a_n x + b_n), x). \tag{2.4}$$

Lemma 2.1. Suppose $F \in \mathcal{D}(\Lambda)$ and (2.2) hold, then

$$u(a_n x + b_n) = -\frac{F(a_n x + b_n)}{f(a_n x + b_n)} \log F(a_n x + b_n).$$

Proof. Let $\log F(a_n x + b_n) = -e^{-\eta(a_n x + b_n)}$. We have

$$\frac{f(a_n x + b_n)}{F(a_n x + b_n)} = \eta'(a_n x + b_n) e^{-\eta(a_n x + b_n)}.$$

Since, $u(a_n x + b_n) = \frac{1}{\eta'(a_n x + b_n)}$, we have

$$u(a_n x + b_n) = -\frac{F(a_n x + b_n) \log F(a_n x + b_n)}{f(a_n x + b_n)}.$$

□

Lemma 2.2. If (2.2) hold and $|u'(t)| < h(t)$, then

i. for $x > 0$,

$$\frac{1}{1 + h(b_n)x} \leq \frac{u(b_n)}{u(a_n x + b_n)} \leq \frac{1}{1 - h(b_n)x}.$$

ii. and for $x < 0$,

$$\frac{1}{1 + h(a_n x + b_n)x} \leq \frac{u(b_n)}{u(a_n x + b_n)} \leq \frac{1}{1 - h(a_n x + b_n)x}.$$

Proof. i. Recalling that $u(x) = \frac{1}{\eta'(x)}$ we have for $x > 0$,

$$\left| \frac{u(a_n x + b_n) - u(b_n)}{u(b_n)} \right| \leq \int_{b_n}^{a_n x + b_n} \frac{u'(t)dt}{u(b_n)} \leq \frac{h(b_n) a_n x}{u(b_n)} = h(b_n)x.$$

Therefore,

$$1 - h(b_n)x \leq \frac{u(a_n x + b_n)}{u(b_n)} \leq 1 + h(b_n)x.$$

ii. For $x < 0$,

$$\left| \frac{u(b_n) - u(a_n x + b_n)}{u(b_n)} \right| \leq \int_{a_n x + b_n}^{b_n} \frac{u'(t)dt}{u(b_n)} \leq \frac{h(a_n x + b_n) a_n x}{u(b_n)} = h(a_n x + b_n)x.$$

Therefore,

$$1 - h(a_n x + b_n)x \leq \frac{u(a_n x + b_n)}{u(b_n)} \leq 1 + h(a_n x + b_n)x.$$

□

Theorem 2.1. Suppose $F \in \mathcal{D}(\Lambda)$ and there exist $k > 0$ such that for $n \geq n_0$

$$\frac{u(b_n)}{b_n h(b_n)} \leq k,$$

and for $c < k^{-1}$ and $b > 0$, $d > 0$,

$$\frac{h(b_n(1 - ck))}{h(b_n)} \leq d(1 - ck)^{-b},$$

and (2.2) holds. If $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} f(x) < \infty$ then for large n

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |g_n(x) - \lambda(x)| \quad < \quad O(h(b_n)) + O(\log(1 + h(b_n))) + O\left(n e^{-n^{1/2}}\right).$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}}|g_n(x)-\lambda(x)| &< \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}}\left(na_nf(a_nx+b_n)\left|F^{n-1}(a_nx+b_n)-\Lambda(x)\right|\right)\\ &\quad+\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}}\left(|na_nf(a_nx+b_n)\Lambda(x)-\lambda(x)|\right),\\ &< \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}}\left(\frac{na_nf(a_nx+b_n)}{F(a_nx+b_n)}\left|F^n(a_nx+b_n)-\Lambda(x)\right|\right)\\ &\quad+\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}}|na_nf(a_nx+b_n)\Lambda(x)-\lambda(x)|.\end{aligned}$$

where, $|F^n(a_nx+b_n)-F(a_nx+b_n)\Lambda(x)|$ is equivalent $|F^n(a_nx+b_n)-\Lambda(x)|$. From Lemma 2.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}}|g_n(x)-\lambda(x)| &< \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}}\left(\frac{nu(b_n)\bar{F}(a_nx+b_n)}{u(a_nx+b_n)}\left|F^n(a_nx+b_n)-\Lambda(x)\right|\right)\\ &\quad+\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}}\left(\Lambda(x)\left|\frac{nu(b_n)\bar{F}(a_nx+b_n)}{u(a_nx+b_n)}-n\bar{F}(b_n)\right|\right)\\ &\quad+\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}}\left(\lambda(x)\left|n\bar{F}(b_n)e^x-1\right|\right).\\ &= \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}}[A_1(n,x)+A_2(n,x)+A_3(n,x)].\end{aligned}$$

Set, $A_1(n,x)=\frac{nu(b_n)\bar{F}(a_nx+b_n)}{u(a_nx+b_n)}\left|F^n(a_nx+b_n)-\Lambda(x)\right|$. From Theorem A.3, and Lemma 2.2-i and taking logarithm from (2.3) we have

$$\sup_{x\geq 0}A_1(n,x) < \sup_{x\geq 0}\left(-\frac{\log F(h(b_n),x)}{1-h(b_n)x}O(h(b_n))\right).$$

From Theorem A.5, $-\log F(h(b_n),x)<-\log(\Lambda(x)-e^{-1}h(b_n))$ therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{x\geq 0}A_1(n,x) &< \sup_{x\geq 0}\left(\frac{\log(\Lambda(x)-h(b_n)e^{-1})}{h(b_n)x-1}O(h(b_n))\right),\\ &< -\log(1-h(b_n)e^{-1})O(h(b_n)),\\ &< O(h(b_n)).\end{aligned}\tag{2.5}$$

where, $\sup_{x\geq 0}\frac{1}{h(b_n)x-1}<-1$.

Next, we choose ξ_n by $-\log F(\xi_n)\sim n^{-1/2}$ so that $\xi_n\to r(F)$ and $t_n=\frac{\xi_n-b_n}{a_n}$. If $\frac{\xi_n-b_n}{a_n}\to c>0$ then $n^{1/2}\sim -n\log F(t_na_n+b_n)\to e^{-c}$ and this is contradict the fact that $n^{1/2}\to\infty$ therefore $\frac{\xi_n-b_n}{a_n}\to-\infty$ for large n.

Set, $\sup_{t_n<x<0}A_1(n,x)=\sup_{t_n<x<0}\left(\frac{nu(b_n)\bar{F}(a_nx+b_n)}{u(a_nx+b_n)}\left|F^n(a_nx+b_n)-\Lambda(x)\right|\right)$. From Theorem A.4, if (A.3) and (A.4) hold then $\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}}|F^n(a_nx+b_n)-\lambda(x)|\leq O(h(b_n))$, and Lemma 2.2-ii and taking logarithm from (2.4) we have

$$\sup_{t_n<x<0}A_1(n,x) < \sup_{t_n<x<0}\left(-\frac{\log F(h(a_nx+b_n),x)}{1-h(a_nx+b_n)x}O(h(b_n))\right).$$

From Theorem A.5, $-\log F(h(a_nx+b_n),x)<-\log(\Lambda(x)-e^{-1}h(a_nx+b_n))$ therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{t_n<x<0}A_1(n,x) &< \sup_{t_n<x<0}\left(\frac{\log(\Lambda(x)-h(a_nx+b_n)e^{-1})}{h(a_nx+b_n)x-1}O(h(b_n))\right),\\ &< \left(\frac{\log(e^{-1}(1-h(b_n)))}{h(b_n)t_n-1}O(h(b_n))\right),\\ &< O(h(b_n)).\end{aligned}\tag{2.6}$$

From, (2.5) and (2.6) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{t_n<x} A_1(n,x) &= \sup_{t_n<x<0} A_1(n,x) + \sup_{0\le x} A_1(n,x),\\ &< O(h(b_n)).\end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

Now we set,

$$\sup_{t_n<x} A_2(n,x) = \sup_{t_n<x<0} A_{21}(n,x) + \sup_{0<x} A_{22}(n,x).$$

We have

$$\sup_{t_n<x<0} A_{21}(n,x) = \sup_{t_n<x<0}\left(\Lambda(x)\left|\frac{nu(b_n)\bar{F}(a_nx+b_n)}{u(a_nx+b_n)} - n\bar{F}(b_n)\right|\right),$$

From Lemma 2.2-ii, we have

$$\sup_{t_n<x<0} A_1(n,x) < \sup_{t_n<x<0}\left(-\frac{\log F(h(a_nx+b_n),x)}{1-h(a_nx+b_n)x} + n\log F(b_n)\right),$$

where, $\sup_{t_n<x<0}\Lambda(x)\le 1$. From Theorem A.5, $-\log F(h(a_nx+b_n),x) < -\log(\Lambda(x) - e^{-1}h(a_nx+b_n))$ and Theorem A.3 we get $n\log F(b_n) < \log(e^{-1}(1+h(b_n)))$ therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{t_n<x<0} A_{21}(n,x) &< \sup_{t_n<x<0}\left(\frac{\log(\Lambda(x)-h(a_nx+b_n)e^{-1})}{h(a_nx+b_n)x-1} + \log((1+h(b_n))e^{-1})\right),\\ &< O(\log((1+h(b_n))e^{-1})).\end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

Similar argument we have,

$$\sup_{0<x} A_{22}(n,x) = \sup_{0<x}\left(\Lambda(x)\left|\frac{nu(b_n)\bar{F}(a_nx+b_n)}{u(a_nx+b_n)} - n\bar{F}(b_n)\right|\right),$$

From Lemma 2.2-i we have

$$\sup_{0<x} A_{22}(n,x) < \sup_{0<x}\left(\frac{\log F(h(b_n),x)}{h(b_n)x-1} + n\log F(b_n)\right),$$

where, $\sup_{0<x}\lambda(x) = 1$. From Theorem A.5, $-\log F(h(b_n),x) < -\log(\Lambda(x) - e^{-1}h(b_n))$ and with Theorem A.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{0<x} A_{22}(n,x) &< \sup_{0<x}\left(\frac{\log(1-h(b_n)e^{-1})}{h(b_n)x-1} + \log((1+h(b_n))e^{-1})\right),\\ &< O(\log((1+h(b_n))e^{-1})).\end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

From (2.8) and (2.8) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{t_n<x} A_2(n,x) &< 2O(\log(1+h(b_n))) - 2,\\ &< O(\log(1+h(b_n))).\end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

Next, using the boundedness of $\lambda(x)$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{x>t_n} A_3(n,x) &< C\left|n\bar{F}(b_n) - 1\right|,\\ &= C\left|1 + n\log F(b_n\right|.\end{aligned}$$

From Theorem A.5, $n\log F(b_n) < \log(e^{-1}(1+h(b_n))$ therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{x>t_n} A_3(n,x) &< C\left(1 + n\log F(b_n)\right),\\ &< O(\log(1+h(b_n))).\end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

Finally, we have now proved uniform convergence over $x < t_n$, and it suffices to show that

$$\sup_{x<t_n}|g_n(x) - \lambda(x)| < \sup_{x<t_n} g_n(x) \vee \sup_{x<t_n}\lambda(x).$$

Since $F^{n-1}(\xi_n) = \exp\{(n-1)\log F(\xi_n)\} = \exp\{-n^{1/2}+n^{-1/2}\}$. Therefore, if $\sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}} f(y) < \infty$ then

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{x<t_n} g_n(x) &< \sup_{y<\xi_n} nf(y)F^{n-1}(\xi_n) < \frac{Cne^{n^{-1/2}}}{e^{n^{1/2}}},\\ &= O\left(ne^{-n^{1/2}}\right).\end{aligned}$$

where, $y = a_n x + b_n$. As well known that,

$$\sup_{x<t_n}(\lambda(x)) \le \epsilon.$$

where, $\epsilon > 0$. Therefore,

$$\sup_{x<t_n} |g_n(x) - \lambda(x)| < O\left(ne^{-n^{1/2}}\right). \tag{2.12}$$

From (2.7), (2.10), (2.11) and (2.12) we have

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}} |g_n(x) - \lambda(x)| < O(h(b_n)) + O(\log(1+h(b_n))) + O\left(ne^{-n^{1/2}}\right).$$

□

2.2. **Fréchet domain.** Suppose $F \in \mathcal{D}(\Phi_\alpha)$, then $\lim_{n\to\infty} F^n(a_n x) = \Phi_\alpha(x)$, for $x > 0$, with $a_n = F^{\leftarrow}(1-\frac{1}{n}) = \inf\{x : F(x) > 1-\frac{1}{n}\}$, $n \ge 1$ and $b_n = 0$, and $1-F$ is regularly varying so that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\overline{F}(a_n x)}{\overline{F}(a_n)} = x^{-\alpha},$$

which is the same thing as saying that the function L, defined by

$$L(x) = -x^\alpha \log F(x), \quad x > 0,$$

is slowly varying at ∞. Now L is said to be slowly varying with remainder function h for large n if

$$\frac{L(a_n x)}{L(a_n)} - 1 = O(h(a_n)), \quad x > 0, \tag{2.13}$$

where, $h(a_n) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Smith (1982), with simple argument show that

$$-n\log F(a_n x) = x^{-\alpha}(1 + O(h(a_n))). \tag{2.14}$$

From Theorem A.1, F satisfies the von Mises condition. A Von Mises condition guaranteeing $F \in \mathcal{D}(\Phi_\alpha)$ analogous to (A.1) is

$$h(t) = \frac{tf(t)}{F(t)\bar{F}(t)} - \alpha \to 0, \tag{2.15}$$

as $t \to \infty$. We suppose there exists a nonincreasing continuous function h and $h(x) = \sup_{t\ge x} |h(t)|$. We select δ so large that $h(\delta) < \alpha$. Then for all n such that $a_n^{-1}\delta < 1$, we assume

$$\frac{h(a_n x)}{h(a_n)} \le x^{-\rho}, \tag{2.16}$$

where, $a_n^{-1}\delta \le x \le 1$, and $\rho > 0$.

Theorem 2.2. Suppose that (2.16) holds. If $\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}} f(x) < \infty$ then for large n

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}} |g_n(x) - \phi_\alpha(x)| < O(h(a_n)) + O\left(\frac{n}{e^{n^{1/2}}}\right).$$

Proof. We have

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}}|g_n(x)-\phi_\alpha(x)| < \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}}\left(na_nf(a_nx)\left|F^{n-1}(a_nx)-\Phi_\alpha(x)\right|+|na_nf(a_nx)\Phi_\alpha(x)-\phi_\alpha(x)|\right).$$

Now we get,

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}}|g_n(x)-\phi_\alpha(x)| &< \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}}\left(\frac{na_nf(a_nx)}{F(a_nx)}|F^n(a_nx)-\Phi_\alpha(x)|+(\phi_\alpha(x)na_n^{-\alpha}|u(a_nx)-u(a_n)|\right)\\ &\quad+\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}}(\phi_\alpha(x)\left|na_n^{-\alpha}u(a_n)-1\right|),\\ &= \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}}[A_1(n,x)+A_2(n,x)+A_3(n,x)].\end{aligned}$$

where, $|F^n(a_nx)-F(a_nx)\Phi_\alpha|$ is equivalent $|F^n(a_nx)-\Phi_\alpha(x)|$ and $u(t)=\frac{t^{\alpha+1}}{\alpha}f(t)$, note that, from definition 1, $f(t)$ is second order regular variation and then $u(t)$ is slowly varying. Set, $\sup_{x\geq1}A_1(n,x)=\sup_{x\geq1}\left(\frac{na_nf(a_nx)}{F(a_nx)}|F^n(a_nx)-\Phi_\alpha(x)|\right)$. Then,

$$\sup_{x\geq1}A_1(n,x) = \sup_{x\geq1}\left(\frac{a_nxf(a_nx)}{F(a_nx)\bar{F}(a_nx)}\frac{n\bar{F}(a_nx)}{x}|F^n(a_nx)-\Phi_\alpha(x)|\right).$$

From (2.14) we have $\sup_{x\geq1}(n\bar{F}(a_nx))<(1+O(h(a_n)))$ where, $\sup_{x\geq1}x^{-\alpha}<1$, and using Theorem A.1, $\sup_{x\geq1}|F^n(a_nx)-\Phi_\alpha(x)|<O(h(a_n))$, and (2.15) gives, $\sup_{x\geq1}\left(\frac{a_nxf(a_nx)}{F(a_nx)F(xa_n)}\right)$ $<\alpha+h(a_n)$ then

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{x\geq1}|g_n(x)-\phi_\alpha(x)| &< (\alpha+h(a_n))(1+O(h(a_n)))O(h(a_n)),\\ &< O(h(a_n)).\end{aligned}\tag{2.17}$$

Now, we choose ξ_n by $-\log F(\xi_n)\sim n^{-1/2}$ so that $\xi_n\to\infty$ and $t_n=\frac{\xi_n}{a_n}$. If $\frac{\xi_n}{a_n}\to c>0$ then $n^{1/2}\sim -n\log F(t_na_n)\to c^{-\alpha}$ and this is contradict the fact that $n^{1/2}\to\infty$ therefore $\frac{\xi_n}{a_n}\to0$ for large n.

Next,

$$\sup_{t_n\leq x\leq1}A_1(n,x) = \sup_{t_n\leq x\leq1}\left(\frac{a_nxf(a_nx)}{F(a_nx)\bar{F}(a_nx)x}n\bar{F}(a_nx)|F^n(a_nx)-\Phi_\alpha(x)|\right).$$

From Lemma A.1 and Proposition 2.15, Page 112, Resnick (1987), for $\delta>0$ is chosen so large that $h(\delta)<\alpha$ if $h(a_nx)/h(a_n)\leq x^{-\beta}$ for $a_n^{-1}\delta\leq x\leq1$ and $\beta>0$ then

$$\sup_{a_n^{-1}\delta\leq x\leq1}|F^n(a_nx)-\Phi_\alpha(x)|<\beta^{-1}\theta\sup_{0<x<1}\{x^{-1-\theta}(\log x)e^{-x^{-1}}\}h(a_n),$$

where, $\theta=\beta/(\alpha-h(\xi_n))$, and

$$\sup_{x\leq a_n^{-1}\delta}|F^n(a_nx)-\Phi_\alpha(x)|<F^n(\delta)\vee\Phi_\alpha(a_n^{-1}\delta).$$

If $\delta a_n^{-1}<t_n\leq x\leq1$ then from (2.14) $n\bar{F}(a_nx)=x^{-\alpha}(1+O(h(a_n))$ we have

$$\sup_{t_n\leq x\leq1}A_1(n,x) < (\alpha+h(a_n))h(a_n)(1+O(h(a_n)))c(\alpha,\beta,\xi_n),$$

where, $c(\alpha,\beta,\xi_n)=\beta^{-1}\theta\sup_{0<x<1}\{x^{-2-\theta-\alpha}(\log x)e^{-x^{-1}}\}$. Therefore,

$$\sup_{t_n\leq x\leq1}A_1(n,x) < O\left(h(a_n)\right).\tag{2.18}$$

If $t_n<x\leq\delta a_n^{-1}$ then

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{t_n\leq x\leq\delta a_n^{-1}}A_1(n,x) &< (\alpha+h(a_n))h(a_n)(1+O(h(a_n)))(F^n(\delta)\vee\Phi_\alpha(a_n^{-1}\delta)),\\ &= O(h(a_n)).\end{aligned}\tag{2.19}$$

From (2.18) and (2.19) we have

$$\sup_{t_n\le x\le 1} A_1(n,x) \quad < \quad O\left(h(a_n)\right). \tag{2.20}$$

Hence, from (2.17) and (2.20) we have

$$\sup_{t_n< x} A_1(n,x) < O(h(a_n)). \tag{2.21}$$

Now we set, $A_2(n,v)=\phi_\alpha(x)na_n^{-\alpha}u(a_n)\left|\frac{u(a_nx)-u(a_n)}{u(a_n)}\right|$. Since $u(t)$ is slowly varying function and from (2.13) we have

$$\sup_{x>t_n} A_2(n,x) \quad < \quad C_1\left(na_nf(a_n)O(h(a_n))\right),$$

where, $u(a_n)=\frac{1}{\alpha}a_n^{\alpha+1}f(a_n)$ and $C_1=\sup_{0<x}\phi_\alpha(x)\alpha^{-1}$. From (2.14) and (2.15), for $x=1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{x>t_n} A_2(n,x) &< C_1\left(n\bar{F}(a_n)\frac{a_nf(a_n)}{F(a_n)\bar{F}(a_n)}O(h(a_n))\right),\\ &= C_1(1+O(h(a_n)))(\alpha+h(a_n))O(h(a_n)),\\ &< O(h(a_n)).\end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

Next, using the boundedness of $\phi_\alpha(x)$ and we have

$$\sup_{x>t_n} A_3(n,x)=\sup_{x>t_n}\phi_\alpha(x)\left|na_n^{-\alpha}u(a_n)-1\right|. \tag{2.23}$$

From (2.14) and (2.15), for $x=1$ and $u(a_n)=\frac{1}{\alpha}a_n^{\alpha+1}f(a_n)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{x>t_n} A_3(n,x) &< C_2\left(n\bar{F}(a_n)\frac{a_nf(a_n)}{\alpha F(a_n)\bar{F}(a_n)}-1\right),\\ &= C_2\left((1+O(h(a_n)))\left(1+\frac{h(a_n)}{\alpha}\right)-1\right),\\ &< O(h(a_n)).\end{aligned} \tag{2.24}$$

where, $C_2=\sup_{x>t_n}\phi_\alpha(x)\alpha^{-1}$.

Finally, We have now proved uniform convergence over $x<t_n$, and it suffices to show that

$$\sup_{x\le t_n}|g_n(x)-\phi_\alpha(x)|<\sup_{x\le t_n}g_n(x)\vee\sup_{x\le t_n}\phi_\alpha(x).$$

Since $F^{n-1}(\xi_n)=\exp\{(n-1)\log F(\xi_n)\}=\exp\{-n^{1/2}+n^{-1/2}\}$. Therefore, If $\sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}}f(y)<\infty$ then

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{x\le t_n} g_n(x) &< \sup_{y\le\xi_n} nf(y)F^{n-1}(\xi_n)<\frac{Cne^{n^{-1/2}}}{e^{n^{1/2}}},\\ &= O\left(\frac{n}{e^{n^{1/2}}}\right).\end{aligned}$$

where, $y=a_nx$. As well known that,

$$\sup_{x\le t_n}\left(\phi_\alpha(x)\right)\le\sup_{0<x\le t_n}\phi_\alpha(x)=\epsilon.$$

Therefore,

$$\sup_{x\le t_n}|g_n(x)-\phi_\alpha(x)| \quad < \quad O\left(\frac{n}{e^{n^{1/2}}}\right). \tag{2.25}$$

From (2.21), (2.22), (2.24) and (2.25) we have

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}}|g_n(x)-\phi_\alpha(x)| \quad < \quad O(h(a_n))+O\left(\frac{n}{e^{n^{1/2}}}\right).$$

□

3. Rates of convergence for Rényi entropy of max domain of attraction

Let M_n is a sequence of random variables with density function g_n and Y is a random variable with density function g. There exists a large N and $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}} |g_n(x) - g(x)| < \epsilon$. Now, we prove an inequality which is use consequently in our main results.

Theorem 3.1. If $\int_{\mathbb{R}} (g(x))^\beta dx < \infty$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}} (f(x))^{\beta-1} dx < \infty$ for $\beta > 1$ and $M > 0$ then

$$|H_\beta(g_n) - H_\beta(g)| \quad < \quad M \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}} |g_n(x) - g(x)| .$$

Proof. From (1.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |H_\beta(g_n) - H_\beta(g)| &= \frac{1}{|1-\beta|} \left| \log \int_{\mathbb{R}} g_n(x)^\beta dx - \log \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(x)^\beta dx \right|, \\ &< \frac{1}{|1-\beta|} \log \left(\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| g_n(x)^\beta - g(x)^\beta \right| dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} g(x)^\beta \, dx} + 1 \right), \end{aligned}$$

Using Taylor expansion of logarithm, $\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| g_n(x)^\beta - g(x)^\beta \right| dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} g(x)^\beta dx} < 1$ and $|1-\beta| \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(x)^\beta dx < M_1$ then

$$\begin{aligned} |H_\beta(g_n) - H_\beta(g)| &< M_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| g_n(x)^\beta - g(x)^\beta \right| dx, \\ &< M_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}} |g_n(x) - g(x)| \, |g_n(x) - g(x)|^{\beta-1} \, dx, \\ &< M_1 \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}} |g_n(x) - g(x)| \int_{\mathbb{R}} |g_n(x) - g(x)|^{\beta-1} \, dx. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

From Theorem (A.6) if $\int_{\mathbb{R}} (f(x))^{\beta-1} < \infty$ then for large n and $M_2 > 0$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |g_n(x) - g(x)|^{\beta-1} < M_2$ and using (3.1) we have,

$$|H_\beta(g_n) - H_\beta(g)| \quad < \quad M \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}} |g_n(x) - g(x)| .$$

where, $M = M_1 M_2$. □

Theorem 3.2. Suppose that (2.16) hold. If $\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}} f(x) < \infty$ and f is decreasing function near ∞ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}} (f(x))^\beta dx < \infty$ then for large n and $\beta > 1$

$$|H_\beta(g_n) - H_\beta(\phi_\alpha)| \leq O(h(a_n)) + O\left(\frac{n}{e^{n^{1/2}}} \right).$$

Proof. From Theorem 3.1 we have

$$|H_\beta(g_n) - H_\beta(g)| \quad < \quad M \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}} |g_n(x) - g(x)| .$$

If Theorem A.7 and Theorem 2.2 hold then for $1 \leq \beta$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |H_\beta(g_n) - H_\beta(\phi_\alpha)| &< M \left(O(h(a_n)) + O\left(\frac{n}{e^{n^{1/2}}} \right) \right), \\ &< \left(O(h(a_n)) + O\left(\frac{n}{e^{n^{1/2}}} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 3.3. Suppose $F \in \mathcal{D}(\Lambda)$ and there exist $k > 0$ such that for $n \geq n_0$

$$\frac{u(b_n)}{b_n h(b_n)} \leq k.$$

and for $c < k^{-1}$ and $b > 0$, $d > 0$,

$$\frac{h(b_n(1-ck))}{h(b_n)} \leq d(1-ck)^{-b}.$$

and (2.2) holds. If $\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}} f(x) < \infty$, f is decreasing near $r(F)$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}}(f(x))^{\beta}dx < \infty$ then for large n and $\beta > 1$

$$|H_\beta(g_n) - H_\beta(\lambda)| < O(h(b_n)) + O(\log(1+h(b_n))) + O\left(ne^{-n^{1/2}}\right).$$

Proof. From Theorem 3.1

$$|H(g_n) - H(\phi_\alpha)| < M \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}} |g_n(x) - \lambda(x)|.$$

If Theorem A.8 and Theorem 2.1 hold then

$$\begin{aligned} |H_\beta(g_n) - H_\beta(\lambda)| &< M\left(O(h(b_n)) + O(\log(1+h(b_n))) + O\left(ne^{-n^{1/2}}\right)\right), \\ &< O(h(b_n)) + O(\log(1+h(b_n))) + O\left(ne^{-n^{1/2}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

□

Appendix A.

Definition 1. (De Haan and Resnick (1996)) We suppose F is twice differentiable. Recall that f is second regularly varying with index α if for all $x > 0$,

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{f(tx)}{f(t)} = x^{-\alpha-1}.$$

Theorem A.1. (Proposition 1.15 and 1.16, Resnick (1987)) Suppose that distribution function F is absolutely continuous with density f which is eventually positive.

(a) If for some $\alpha > 0$

$$\lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{xf(x)}{\overline{F}(x)} = \alpha, \tag{A.1}$$

then $F \in \mathcal{D}(\Phi_\alpha)$.

(b) If f is nonincreasing and $F \in \mathcal{D}(\Phi_\alpha)$ then (A.1) holds.

Theorem A.2. (Proposition 1.17, Resnick (1987)) Let F be absolutely continuous in a left neighborhood of $r(F)$ with density f. If

$$\lim_{x\uparrow r(F)} f(x)\int_x^{r(F)} \overline{F}(t)dt/\overline{F}(x)^2 = 1, \tag{A.2}$$

then $F \in \mathcal{D}(\Lambda)$. In this case we may take,

$$u(x) = \int_x^{r(F)} \overline{F}(t)dt/\overline{F}(x),\ b_n = F^{\leftarrow}(1-1/n),\ \ a_n = u(b_n).$$

Lemma A.1. (Lemma 2.14, Resnick (1987)) Suppose that $\frac{h(a_n x)}{h(a_n)} \leq x^{-\rho}$ for $a_n^{-1}\delta \leq x \leq 1$ and $\rho > 0$. Let $\delta > 0$ is chosen so large that $h(\delta) < \alpha$. Then for n such that $a_n^{-1}\delta < 1$

$$\sup_{\delta a_n^{-1}\leq x\leq 1} \left(\left|\Phi_{\alpha-h(a_n x)}(x) - \Phi_\alpha(x)\right| \vee \left|\Phi_{\alpha+h(a_n x)}(x) - \Phi_\alpha(x)\right|\right) = c(\alpha,\beta,\delta)O(h(a_n)),$$

where, $c(\alpha,\beta,\delta) = \beta^{-1}\theta \sup_{0<y<1}\{y^{-1-\theta}(\log y)e^{-y^{-1}}\}$, and $\theta = \beta/(\alpha - h(\delta))$.

Theorem A.3. (Proposition 2.18, Resnick (1987)) If (2.2) hold and $h(x) = \sup_{x<y} h(y)$ then

$$\sup_{x\geq 0} |F^n(a_n x + b_n) - \Lambda(x)| \leq e^{-1} h(b_n).$$

Theorem A.4. (Proposition 2.20, Resnick (1987)) Suppose $F \in \mathcal{D}(\Lambda)$ and there exist $k > 0$ such that for $n \geq n_0$

$$\frac{u(b_n)}{b_n h(b_n)} \leq k. \tag{A.3}$$

and for $c < k^{-1}$ and $b > 0$, $d > 0$,

$$\frac{h(b_n(1-ck))}{h(b_n)} \leq d(1-ck)^{-b}. \tag{A.4}$$

then

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}} |F^n(a_n x + b_n) - \Lambda(x)| < O(h(b_n)).$$

Theorem A.5. (Proposition 2.17, Resnick (1987)) For a positive real number z define the distribution functions

$$F(z,x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x < -z^{-1}, \\ \exp(-(1+zx)^{-z^{-1}}), & x > -z^{-1}; \end{cases}$$

$$F(-z,x) = \begin{cases} \exp(-(1-zx)^{z^{-1}}), & x < z^{-1}, \\ 1, & x > z^{-1}; \end{cases}$$

Then for $0 < z < 1$

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}} |F(\pm z, x) - \Lambda(x)| \leq e^{-1} z.$$

Theorem A.6. (Theorem 3, de Haan and Resnick (1982)) Let $\{M_n, n \geq 1\}$ be iid with df F wich is absolutely continuous with density f. Set $M_n = \vee_{i=1}^n X_i$ and let g_n be the density of the normalized M_n.

(a). Suppose (A.1) holds. If $\int_{\mathbb{R}} (f(x))^\beta dx < \infty$ and $\beta > (1+\alpha)^{-1}$ then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |g_n(x) - \phi_\alpha(x)|^\beta \, dx \to 0,$$

as $n \to \infty$.

(b). Suppose (A.2) holds. If $\int_{\mathbb{R}} (f(x))^\beta < \infty$ then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |g_n(x) - \lambda(x)|^\beta \, dx \to 0,$$

as $n \to \infty$.

Theorem A.7. (Theorem 3.1, Saeb (2014)) Suppose $F \in D(\Phi_\alpha)$ is absolutely continuous with pdf f which is eventually positive and decreasing in left neighborhood of ∞. If $\int_{\mathbb{R}} (f(x))^\beta dx < \infty$ then for $\beta > 1$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} H_\beta(g_n) = H_\beta(\phi_\alpha).$$

Theorem A.8. (Theorem 3.3, Saeb (2014)) Suppose $F \in D(\Lambda)$ is absolutely continuous with pdf f which is eventually positive and decreasing in left neighborhood of $r(F)$. If $\int_{\mathbb{R}} (f(x))^\beta dx < \infty$ then for $\beta > 1$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} H_\beta(g_n) = H_\beta(\lambda).$$

References

[1] Artstein, S. and Ball, K.M. and Barthe, F. and Naor, A., (2004), *On the rate of convergence in the entropic central limit theorem*, *Probability Theory Related Fields*, Vol. 129, Pages 381-390.

[2] Barron A.R., (1986), *Entropy and the Central Limit Theorem, The Annals of Probability*, Vol. 14, No. 1, Pages 336-342.

[3] Brown, L. D., (1982), *A proof of the central limit theorem motivated by the Cramer-Rao inequality*, *Statistics and probability: Essays in Honour of C.R. Rao*, Pages 141-148.

[4] Cui, Hongfei and Ding, Yiming, (2010), *The convergence of the Renyi entropy of the normalized sums of IID random variables, Statistics and Probability Letters*, Vol. 80, Pages 1167-1173.

[5] de Haan, L. and Resnick, S. I., (1982), *Local limit theorems for sample extremes, The Annals of Probability,*, Vol. 10, No. 2, Pages 396-413.

[6] Embrechts P. and Klüppelberg C. and Mikosch, T., (1997), *Modelling Extremal Events for Insurance and Finance,* Springer Verlag.

[7] Galambos J., (1987), *The Asymptotic Theory of Extreme Order Statistics,* Krieger Pub. Co.

[8] Johnson O., (2006), *Information Theory and the Central Limit Theorem,* Imperical College Press.

[9] Johnson, O. and Barron, A., (2004), *Fisher information inequalities and the central limit theorem, Probab. Theory Relat. Fields*, Vol. 129, Pages 391-409.

[10] Linnik, J. V., (1959), *An information theoretic proof of the central limit theorem with Lindeberg conditions*, *Theory Probability Application*, Vol. 4, Pages 288-299.

[11] Omey, E., (1988), *Rates of convergence for densities in extreme value theory, The Annals of Probability*, Vol. 16, No. 2, Pages 479-486.

[12] Resnick Sidney I., (1987), *Extreme Values, Regular Variation, and Point Processes,* Springer Verlag.

[13] Renyi, A., (1961), *On Measures of Entropy and Information, Proceedings of the Fourth Berkeley Symposium on Mathematics, Statistics and Probability, University of California Press*, Vol. 1, Pages 547-561.

[14] Shimizu, R., (1975), *On fisher's amount of information for location family*, *Statistical distributions in scientific work*, Vol. 3, Pages 305-312.

[15] Saeb, Ali, (2014), *On Renyi entropy convergence of max domain of attraction, Submitted to arXiv.*

[16] Smith, Richard, L., (1982), *Uniform rates of convergence in extreme value theory, Advances in applied probability*, Vol. 14, No. 3, Pages 600-622.

[17] Takano, Seiji, (1987), *Convergence entropy in the central limit theorem*, *Yokohama Mathematical Journal*, Vol. 35.